

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to comment on the response from National Grid to the Inspector's questions, and in particular in respect of point HE 1.34. This refers specifically to two properties in the Colne Valley.

A glance at the map showing pylons TB40, TB41 and TB42 will demonstrate that National Grid's comments are entirely false.

Their suggestion that "a moderate proportion of the pylon would, however, be occasionally visible at ground level from the asset" is clearly untrue.

██████████ sits on a ridge and faces west across the Colne Valley.

The pylons wires, as proposed, will run parallel to the house and will obscure the views both from and to the house from the valley and from Fossetts Lane.

The corner pylon TB40 is directly beside our paddock and separated only by a hedge. The distance to the house as the crow flies is more likely to be 200/250 yards. The proximity of the wires and noise vibration will render the space between a health hazard most likely including the house itself.

The pylons are at least three times the height of trees in the locality. There is an insignificant area of woodland in the bottom of the valley between pylons TB40 and TB41 but this would not significantly screen the pylons. Much of this woodland is likely to be shortlived futuristically.

National Grid appear to have approached ██████████ from the East, mentioning the barns, so one can only conclude that they did not consider the view from the house to the west.

An Air bnb business is run from the barns and the entirety of the space around the property is the attraction for guests, especially the views across the Colne Valley as noted in comments from happy guests.

Due to the proximity of the pylons we have been advised that the value for the property would be reduced by half and the paddock unsaleable. The Air bnb business will be destroyed.

National Grid's project is not in the public interest. It is in the interests of those having the most to do for the longest possible time and at the greatest profit for their investors and directors. They continue to misinform as that is the most lucrative approach.

Yours faithfully,

Penelope Richards